G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

219-14
36-C1

1924 (Inwards)

File No. 521/14
Serial Nos. 6-11

Later references.

348-26-1-4
Mug 80 27-1-3
63-50-1-6

Secret

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Final G.O. No. 45-43-5 / Mug. 521-14-11
dated 30.6.1920.

in management of Samaya Sri Ram
Temple in the Ghirthakki Taluq was pending orders
of Government on the review petition, the Board sought
to be reviewed may be held in abeyance.

G.O. No. 45-43-5
dated 30.6.20

To the Dy. Comr, Shimoga
in Supdt Mug Deptt
in Comptr.

Sanctions the scale of income tax fee as claimed.
The proposal to lease the Temple
Land for 30 years is not accepted

B170

G. R. S. 11] 1915.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 521/14*

FILE HEADING.

Review-petition from Vedavyasa Jois regarding the management of Samagodu Ramachandra Devara Temple in the Tirthahalli Taluk.

From

Vedavyasa Jois of Samagodu.

~~No.~~ Petition Dated 16.12.1915 Received 20-12-1915.

Diary No. 1054	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 6

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests that for reasons given in his petition, he may be permitted to submit a scale not exceeding Rs 240, and adds that pending orders on his review-petition, the final order sought to be reviewed may be held in abeyance.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The review-petition is duly stamped and is within time.

2) The petition is addressed to the 1st member. Members under thereon:— "Please submit

NOTES—First Sheet

GPB—20/100 8-8-11

(2

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 521 of 1914.

Serial No.

file soon

3) Inwd. files nos 36 of 1906 and 521-14 are herewith submitted. The facts of the case are contained in the office-note in the Collections.

4) There were complaints about the mismanagement of Samagodu Ramachandra Devaru temple and its land endowments in the Sirthahalli T. The Dy. Commr, Shimoga Dist, whose repo. was called for, stated that the build. was in repair and that puja was being attended to regularly, but that some of the inam lands were leased out for 500 years or on mulgeni tenure.

Flag C) In this office letter no 724 dy 12-10 1914, the Dy. Commr was requested to take proceedings to cancel the unauthorized alienations on lng or perpetual leases, made by the Vahivatdar. It was also stated that the Temple lands might be left in the possession of the Vahivatdar if he executed a muchalika binding himself to keep the building in repair, to conduct services according to the scale fixed by the Dy. Commr and keep an amount as a reserve, and that if the Vahivatdar fails.

Form—Contn. sheet

GPI.—70,000—17 12 15.

Serial No.

to do so, the property might be taken under Govt management.

5) On a review-petition from Veda-Vyasa Jois, the Dy Commr was requested to frame a scheme of management in accordance with the above order and send it up for sanction of Govt (vide Dy.D) Go No 718 Dy 8 Sep 1915).

6) The petr Vedavyasa Jois prays for a re-consideration of the foregoing orders for the reasons given below:—

Dy.Cr. (i) It is clear from the main register that the Temple was constructed from private funds, and the inam lands thereof which were originally Brahmadaya were converted at their request into Devadaya-

(2) As the Devasm is their hereditary duty, in spite of small income from the lands, they have been conducting worship and maintaining the Temple building.

(3) The Temple lands were originally bangar and during the inam settlement, after ascertaining the yield for 10 years, the average income from all the lands was fixed at Rs 270, as noted in the inamti Statement

Dy Gr 24 (Rs 121 + 150 = 271)

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 521 of 1914.

Serial No

(4) They have laid out 10 to 15 Thousand Rupees for the improvement of these lands, and have brought most of the banjar lands under cultivation - The present yield from the lands is nearly ~~Rs~~ 750, and the additional income over and above the amount arrived at during the inam settlement, is the result of their heavy outlay. It requires 8 to 10 Thousand Rupees more to bring the remaining lands under cultivation the balance that may ~~req~~ remain after meeting the cost of services etc. will hardly be sufficient to pay interest on the Capital laid out by him -

(5) During the inam settlement, some numbers were brought under khalsat and the khata thereof was made out in their names - they did not sell them away, though there was no objection to do so. They have paid kandaya on these lands, for many years though the income was insufficient for the purpose and have suffered losses. At their request, most of these lands were converted into Devadaya in 1894 under orders of Govt, and their

(I I —70 000—17 12 1)

Serial No.

application for the conversion of the remaining lands into inam, has not been disposed of.

7 The petr prays that on a consideration of the foregoing allegations, he may be permitted to submit a deed not exceeding Rs 270 being the amount arrived at during the inam Settlement, and to utilize the balance towards paying his loan and also bringing the remaining lands under cultivation. He adds that in consideration of his hereditary enjoyment for upwards of 200 years, he may not be ousted from the temple and its property, and that pending orders on his review petition, the first order sought to be reviewed may be held in abeyance.

8 It is stated in this office letter No. 724 dt 12-10-1914 that the Vahivatdar may be left in possession of the temple lands if he executes a Muchalika binding himself to the conditions set forth therein

Submd. for orders.

AV(95) 13.1.1916. 15/1/16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—70,000—17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 521 of 1914.

Serial No. 20

1) Subd to Dist Member

2) The DC was requested to frame a scheme of management and submit the same for Govt sanction and the DC's proposals have not yet been received.

3) In the meanwhile the Vakil-dar has again applied for a revision of the Govt order. He is willing to spend up to Rs 270 which is stated to be the estimated income at the time of the last settlement and wishes to have the benefit of the balance ~~of this~~ of the income for his own use, alleging as a reason that the increased profits are due to Capital expenditure. The present income is stated to be Rs 750 which is not very much more than what may be expected as the natural result of increased prices

4) As a Vakil-dar the petitioner may be given like allowances but in principle he have no right to all accretions of income beyond a fixed sum

Sd
19.1.16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL—70,000—17 12 15

G. R. S. 12]

7

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 521 of 1914.

Serial No.

13) Ask the Dy Commr to take this petition also into Consideration while framing his scheme and to submit a report on the Petn along with the scheme framed by him. The Petr may be informed of the fact + asked to appear before the Dy C.

8/2/16

9/2/16

14) Submd. with a dft. letter to the Dy Commr and an endorsement to the petn.

AV(95) 10.2.1916. 10/2/16

15) Appd

15/2/16

7 No 1991 dt 19.2.16, to Dy Comr & shewing copies of petitions, &c as above received

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 521 of 1914

	Serial No	
		Review petn seeks to a report in the matter.
		No. 1992 dt 19.2.16, to the petitioner - deforwarding of the above
No 95 dt 11-7-17	8	From, the Dy Commr, Shimoga Dist Letter no. 177 dt 28. 8. 16
		Recommends for reasons stated, that some of the temple lands may be permitted to be leased out for a period of 30 years subject to certain conditions, and submits for appt a scale of income and expr
		16) The Dy Commrs. letter goes exhaustively into the facts of the case
		17) Among the items of expr, a sum of Rs 328/ is shown as interest due to temple funds, on a debt of Rs 3850/ said to have borrowed for the improvement of the temple lands by the Vahivatdar, and in para 7 of the under adverteence, it is stated that the matter is under further investigation.
		18) Before according sanction to the scale it may perhaps be desirable to know the truth of the alleged heavy debt. As the matter is reported to be under enquiry in the local offices, a ref. is

NOTE—Contn sheet

[illegible] 70000—17 1 21

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Revenue File No. 521 of 1916

Serial No.

Submitted for appl or other orders.

M 1-12-16 RB 2/DEC

(9) Issue 2/12/16

9. D. Dy. Commr. Shimoga
No. 1796 dt. 12.12.18.
Requests to report early about
the further enquiry re the sum
of Rs 3850/- said to have been
borrowed by the Vahivatdar for
the improvement of the temple-lands.

10. From, the Dy. Comr. Shimoga Dt.
Letter no. 177 dt. 21.4.1920.
Reports after full discussion,
that the claim of the Vahivatdar to the sum
of Rs 3850/ or for interest thereon,
alleged to have been laid out for
the improvement of the temple
lands, is inadmissible, and does
not deserve consideration.
2) The D.C's letter explains the
facts of the case.
Submitted for orders.

M 21/4/20 1) Orders are required on the following points

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mur File No. 52/ of 191/4

Serial No

1) The cancellation of long or perpetual leases and leasing the lands in question for 30 years at a time

2) The sanction of the scale of income and fee as suggested by the Dy Comr

3) The recovery of the debt of Rs 3850 said to have been incurred by the Valvatt dar, Vedavyasa Jois, and others for the improvement of the temple lands.

As for (1) & (2) the Dy Comr's recommendation may be sanctioned as regards (3), the Dy Comr is very strong against the Valvattdar and shows the Valvattdar also concedes the fact that the amt has been borrowed. In this case the money has to be returned to the temple.

Order solicited

Sd
28/4/20

22) A. This is a mistake. The Valvattdar contends that he is entitled to get Rs 3850 from the temple on behalf of which his forefathers had borrowed this sum. The Dy Commr states that it cannot be believed that such a sum has been lent to the

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No.

temple. Seeing that no
in proof of the grant of loans
been produced that any
part of the total Mungay
has been obtained for mitigating
such loans on behalf of the temple
And that it is highly unlikely
that the Vahivatdhar must
have incurred personal obligations
for the sake of the temple
the Conclusion of the Enquiry
that no loan could have been
contracted by the members
the Vahivatdhar's family for
the benefit of the temple
Quite correct. The temple is
under no obligation to
pay to the Vahivatdhar
no provision for the payment
of Rs 328 per annum as interest
on this sum to the Vahivatdhar
need Consequently be made in
Scale of Expenditure—

2) Regarding the lease of
the temple lands, the proposal of
the D Commr that they
leased for three years

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. Mus File No. 521 of 1914

Serial No.

Appeared as it is extremely difficult to find tenants to cultivate them for a shorter period. The lands are situated in the heart of the Mahal where it is very difficult to get labour.

24) Subject to the modification suggested in the penultimate para the Scale of Income and Expenditure received from the D. Commr may be approved and the lands allowed to be leased for three years.

Second Member) WJ 28/4/20

6814-227.
28-4-20.

25) The present long lease - i.e. in perpetuity should be cancelled, and the period fixed at thirty years henceforwards. I quite appreciate an exception to the rule to obtain in this instance.

I appreciate with the D.C. in thinking that the probabilities of the case are against the claim being entertained & that the Vakil cannot be paid the sum claimed by him viz Rs 3850/-

NOTE—Contn sheet

26) Subject to the modification necessitated by

Serial No.

para 2 above. The scale of income & expendi as proposed by the D.C. is sanctioned.

Reel 6.5.20

27) Draft UJ 7/5/20

28) Submit with a D.O.

13.5.20 15/5/20 Fair draft put up UJ 16/6/20

29) Issued UJ 17/6/20 17-6-20

1) To the Dy. Comr. Shimoga Dt., memo. copy & Compt. No. 4543-58 30-6-20.

~~Under that~~ declines to make any [illegible] of any principle or interest on the sums claimed by the Rajendra & sanctions the scale of income &c as also the leasing of the temple lands for 30 years.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.





14

20/12/15

మ్యూజుంలు శిథిలమందు మిడుబాద్ కోటిపాలయం. ఎ.నెం. 1054 గల అంత
య్య. ఎ.స్కూయర్ సాంకీర్ణ వారణాశి దివ్యవస్థ నెం. 15 తేదీ 5/8
SECTION మూడు
శాద్ధకళ్ళి దివసమోఁతు రాపుచేంద్రస్వామి నెల్లూరు జిల్లా కందుకూరు దివ్యవస్థ నెం. 14 గల
అర్చక దివసమోఁతు విద్వద్వాదిభోగులుద SERIAL NO. 6 దివ్యులతో. —
16.12.1915.

శాద్ధకళ్ళి దివసమోఁతు రాపుచేంద్ర దీక్షిత దీక్షస్థ
నద్వైనాల్ వుల్లె ని నాంతుశిథిలాధారదల్లి నంనల్లి ప్రీతిల్లి నమోఁలే ధరణ
రిందవ్యేవస్థిగొండల్లు ఆర్తరణిఅనుభవంశి అవులల్లాశ్రమ సాందర్భ
ఆదసమోఁతు దుమల్లో అర్తరణి ఖాతియు నన్నిదాంళక్కా ఆన్నది. ఈసమోఁతు
దినల్లి దీక్షస్థానకుం బ్బుళ్ళి అనుదరిసి ఈన సోశ్ళ వుంటు వుస్థోక్ర
కారముంటాదినళ్ళ వుభయాంశ శిలప్రతిమాంబలనన్నరిళల్లవుంటులక్ష్మి
వరుత్తిలసేందు వస్థిశి మురుత్త నమలుంటిశి నానువలుబ్బళిశి-బరుశోతిచే
శానిఅమలాశ్రవరు అర్చనయివుం తిరిలాశ్రశి. ఆవిమోశి మీంబలనన్న
ళరవుంతలుశి-ళనీనవలుం దానువశ్యాళనాన శ్లింద ఆవశాంశ తిరువది
లల్లి ంం అంశవస్థ వడలుశోదాంళనాద నానువినంయమూర్తం వానిచే
తిశోళ్ళుత్తిలవి.

Please submit with file soon — 20/12/15

ఈదీక్షాలంయుదశ-బ్బుతవ్వలదింయుల్లి నళ్ళ కిరియరినీదా
నవశ్రీ బ్బుళరశ్శంశవు చిగనాంద శ-బ్బుల్లు బ్బుద్వానిద్ధి న్నాదరళుమోంశాలనళ్ళు మూ
ర్తరల్లి ప్రద్ధాదాంయవాగిదర్శి నళ్ళ కోగిశ్రీ మోశి న్నాంది బ్బుమేంబ్శాలద
ల్లి దీక్షా దాంయవాగి మూంతల్లు బ్బుళ్ళు భంవరూంరు ఎరుయనూంశాల రవేద్యనళ్ళుళ్ళి
లు బ్బుళ్ళు దీక్షస్థానదల్లి వాంశ్రీ కాశ్రీళ్ళుమోంశాలనళ్ళు నదాంనళ్ళశాల బుంబదవ
రస్థాళిలనవిది ఈవిషంయు న్నానుమశిలిక్షిసళ్ళుల్లి పరాంబరిద చర్యుదు.

2 ఈదీక్షవరు నళ్ళ మనిదీక్షవరు. ఆద్వితాదళుమోంశాలళ్ళు
బ్బుళ్ళు-వళ్ళ శ-బ్బిన్నె న్నాగుల్లా నళ్ళ దశ్శాలు చిగనాంద మూంళాదినళ్ళనుల్లన
శీంరు దీక్షస్థానదశ-బ్బుతవ్వళ్ళ దంతరన్నిసళ్ళుల్లి బ్బుళ్ళు-శీంరు బుంబదిద్ధి

రిజిస్టర్ పరంబండి దల్లి చిత్తిపేర్యనుచ్చ్.

3 దీవస్థానదళయింగ్ళబర్చ్యాగలతియల్లిబంళిరిన
ల్లిశ్వేత్. ఇనాంపుశి బేట్లుపింట్ వేళ్ళకుచ్చవడ్డదుబ్బేశ్వియలుప్రశ్నండ్రు
పంతిరుదాపుంపు అంపుసాలాంయుగా ఎరతుగ్రామనళింద ఎతుడినుంళి
ప్పేశ్వేత్ శుంపాంలు ఎంబదానిశరంపుపుంతిరిలశ్వారి. ఇనాంపుశిబ్బే
టిపింట్ దిదడా డాంళర్మాతిచ్చిలవి. పురంబరిసిమ్మాణాంచిగిశ్:

మల్నాతుళయింగా పాంపువళ్ళిపేంపరిసళ్ళు పుడాస్థాయి
అంపువంపడిపింత్తిది శ్వేశరనిసుంపాంలు శుంపాంలులల్లాన్నస్సిశ్: ట్లుళయిం
సు ఆబాంపిపిళ్ళిశ్వరదుపుంపు 10-15 పాంవిశుంపాంలు లాంగ్యాతిల
ఇట్లుల్ల సిద్ధనభాంగవనళ్ళ పాంనిసల్లిశంపిరిత్తిల్వి. డాంతిళ్ళుళయింగాలన
ళింద పుమాంగ్ర్ పంలుపాంలు పరంపరిలుత్తిత్తి ఆనవళ్ళుదుడు. ఈదిష్టు
లుత్తిత్తి నవుగ్గా లాంగ్లాతినప్రశ్నతిచిప్పలపేంపు నిస్సంపేర్పుపాంగి పేర్పుళ్ళిల్వినే.
డాంలాంఆతినల్లిగశ్వేళ్ళి బాంశ్ ళయింనన్న పాంపువళ్ళిపేశ్వభిశ్వాదళ
లు గ్రళి-10 పాంవిశుంపాంలు ఖచిపున్నతభిశ్వాంగతిత్వి. పేర్పువాదినళ
మిచ్చిళ్ళాంశాంపాంశ్- లుళింపువళ్ళు దాందవేంబలను నావు ఖచిళ్ళిళు
తిదబ్బాంతివేంబలనినే వడ్డినదాం ఆనుళ్ళుదిల్వా.

4 ఇనాంపుశిపేర్పుళేపిలు పునంబరుగళు ఖాంలపాంపేపి
ళయింగాలు ఆనిపుంతల్లు ట్లుపుంపాం ఆనుగాదాం ఖలాంని నవ్వన్నప్రేంశఖంపేపి
నేపేర్పరినల్లు ట్లుపు. ఈళయింగాలగళగ్రనావు ఆనళ్ళిపిత్రయపుంతలు
అయుంశలుచ్చిగ్గాంశరు ఇగశలల్లు. ఈళయింగాలు నళ్ళలుత్తిత్తి నశశాంశద
శందాంయు పేర్పుంశశ-మ్మైయుంపిద్ధానుళ్ళాళు శరనవ్వపేట్లు చేర్పవర
పేర్పలాశరశశ్వి భుత్తివిశాంపదింద నవ్వన్నప్రేంశపాంశద పరశనాంశళ్ళి అసిత
వరులుపేశందాంయువన్నపేంట్లు- పేపాంతువళ్ళుపాంగి లుస్సాన్నుపేర్పుం
దిద్దిల్వినే. నవ్వన్నపేంపిపిపేర్పుళి ఈళయింగాలనళ్ళల్లిబరుభ్రాన 1897 నే
ఇశ్వేర్పుంయుల్లు పిశరనాంశదబరుపుంట్లు-ంద్రపాంగాచిర్పవన్నాన్నపేళ్ళి ఇనాంఆపి
నతింయుత్తిది. చాంపి. ఖాంలపాంపేళయింగాలనళ్ళు ఇనాంవుపేర్పుయుంపినతి
ంయుపిళ్ళిపేంపు పులుళంపేంట్లు- ట్లుపేశ్వేలవి. ఈవిపేయుంయు పేనిబాంయుల్లిది. వ్య
వశ్వేశ్వేలల్లు.

5 పేర్పుపినవిద్యవుంపాంనవన్నపేంపేత్తి పేర్పులేపినవలుంపాందపుం
శరణానళ్ళపుడాంస్థాలు ంయుపిలుపేశ్వేనవాంపచిత్తిపేత్తిశంపుఇనాంవు
పేవేర్పుళిపేంత్తా ద270రూపాంలుపేయింర్పుశంపేపి ఖుబ్బుళిరుట్లు-ంయుల్ల
నాంప్రుడాంళర్మాతిలు అత్తిపూంఆనబేగాంనుచేర్పడుత్తిశ్వేనవి పిలుపుస్థాయి.

ನಾನು ಮೊಂತಿಕಟ್ಟೆಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಈಶ್ವರನಿಗೆ ಹೂಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಉಳಿಯುವಷ್ಟು ಹೊತ್ತು ಮಾತ್ರ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ನಿಲ್ಲುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಯುವಾಗ ಅದರಿಂದ ಹೊರಡುವ ಶಬ್ದವು ಕೇಳಲು ಬಹಳ ಇಂಪಾಗಿರುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಲಯವಿರುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಆ ಲಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾ ನಿಂತಿರುವಾಗ ನನಗೆ ಅರಿವಿಲ್ಲದೆಯೇ ನನ್ನ ಕೈಗಳು ಲಯಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಚಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಯುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯು ಮುಗಿಯುವವರೆಗೂ ನಾನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ನಿಂತಿರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಯುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯು ಮುಗಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೊರಡುವ ಶಬ್ದವು ಕೇಳಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಲಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೊರಟು ಈಶ್ವರನಿಗೆ ಹೂಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಿ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಯುವಾಗ ಹೊರಡುವ ಶಬ್ದವು ಕೇಳಲು ಬಹಳ ಇಂಪಾಗಿರುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಲಯವಿರುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಆ ಲಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳುತ್ತಾ ನಿಂತಿರುವಾಗ ನನಗೆ ಅರಿವಿಲ್ಲದೆಯೇ ನನ್ನ ಕೈಗಳು ಲಯಬದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಚಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಯುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯು ಮುಗಿಯುವವರೆಗೂ ನಾನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ನಿಂತಿರುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ಕಡೆಯುವ ಕ್ರಿಯೆಯು ಮುಗಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೊರಡುವ ಶಬ್ದವು ಕೇಳಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲವಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಆ ಲಯವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಹೊರಟು ಈಶ್ವರನಿಗೆ ಹೂಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಿ ಮನೆಗೆ ಹಿಂತಿರುಗುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು.

ಬಹಳ ಚಿಕ್ಕವನಾಗಿದ್ದಾಗ ನಾನು ಮಾಡಿದ ಅಪರಾಧಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆಕಸ್ಮಿಕವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಅಪರಾಧಗಳು ಬಹಳಷ್ಟಿವೆ. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದನ್ನು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಒಂದು ದಿನ ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ ನಾನು ಮನೆಯ ಹಿಂಭಾಗದಲ್ಲಿ ಆಟವಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೆನು. ಆಗ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಕಾಗೆಯು ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿತು. ಅದನ್ನು ಕಂಡ ಕೂಡಲೇ ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿಯಲು ಪ್ರಯತ್ನಿಸಿದೆನು. ಆದರೆ ಅದು ನನ್ನ ಕೈಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕದೆ ಹಾರಿಹೋಯಿತು. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಲ್ಲನ್ನು ಎಸೆದೆನು. ಆ ಕಲ್ಲು ಕಾಗೆಗೆ ತಗುಲಿ ಅದು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದಿತು. ಆಗ ನಾನು ಅದನ್ನು ಹಿಡಿದು ಕೊಂದೆನು. ಆ ಕಾಗೆಯು ಸತ್ತಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಕಂಡಾಗ ನನಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಬೇಸರವಾಯಿತು. ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಲ್ಲಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶವು ನನಗೆ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಆಕಸ್ಮಿಕವಾಗಿ ಅದು ಸತ್ತುಹೋಯಿತು. ಆ ಕಾಗೆಯ ಶವವ

Received
15-11-71
Exhibit No. I
సంఖ్య
13

నవంబరు
39

ಆಡಳಿತ ರಾಜಭಾಷಾ ತಂತ್ರಜ್ಞ ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸು ಅವರ ಜನ್ಮದಿನವಾದ ಇಂದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಗೌರವ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದವರು

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್ ಸದಸ್ಯರು

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ







'Copy'

(Sd.) D. K. Darashah

Superintendent of Inam

Settlements in Mysore



Inam Supdt's office
Bangalore 9-12-1915
Compared (Init) M.B.R
words 713
Copying fee 1-8-0
Comparing fee 0-2-8
Paper Cost — 0-0-3
Total 1-10-11

Case No. 2.

1871 డిసెంబర్ 15.

ఈ-ద్వేషించుటకు మనకు ప్రోత్సాహము-

స్వీకరించుమంటము-

(2)

ఎవళ్ళనేనుచిచ్చినేళ్ళ	౧
నమోదుచేయబడ్డాయి	
కాబట్టి	
౨ ఈలాటిమాళ్ళపైకి	
లపై అగ్నిప్రాంతం కాదా	
మ్మిపైవేయడానికి వచ్చినట్లయితే	
వెంటనే శిక్షపడేలాగున మన	
నేంతో నారాయణుడు యేసయ్యనేను	
మహారాజైన్నే ఎంతవరకైనా	
రివేసి తీయడానికి వస్తే ఐయుండలేం	
నేంతో నారాయణుడు యేసయ్యనేను	
యుంచేసినట్లేమారాజు మళ్ళా తిరిగినట్లే	
తిరువంటి బంధనకొంపకు కాకపోతే	
దుగర్తాల్లాటి మాలు మీరుచ్చట్లో	
నేనేరు మీగర్వపళ్ళతో మరిలుచ్చి	
యన్నట్లాగా కనబడ్డట్లుచ్చట్లోనే	
నేనుజేసు అనేంవంటివాళ్ళు కూడదల్లు	
బడద అనేలాలుచ్చు వంటి	
౨ ఇదివరకే మహారాజుల్లో రాజు	
తిరోచనవరుడాగారు రాజుల్లోనేను	
రిమోచ్చాదిపరినేలుతరుతిసిద	
నబళ్ళకి=దయమాళు నేదరు అని	
రాగారు చూడు చూలు నేనేనయం	
పిమాంతిరిరు ధారి పరమాని శతనికొం	
తిరుగరు వంటిపోలు చూతిమాల్లో	
నేచూపుల్లగు రదలతో మాకు మళ్ళా	
బడదగిరుంటేవంటి	
౨	

నాంతిమునకు ప్రోత్సాహము	౯
ఇచ్చుట-	
ఈ నాంమళ్ళపదాల	౧౦
ప్రోత్సాహపరచుట	
ఈ నాంమళ్ళపదాల	౧౧
ప్రోత్సాహపరచుట	
ఈ నాంమళ్ళపదాల	౧౨

ఈ ఆమాంతపడకుండా
ఎప్పుడూ ఇరువైపులా
చూడకుండా నిలబడ్డట్లుగా
ఇవ్వడం
ఈ కాంక్షతో
ఇ చూళ్ళనుడ్డడమే
లూళ్ళ-
ఇచ్చోంవేయ్యడి దాని
ఒదిడులనివేయడం
ఇ తిడ్డడ్డరళ్ళు తడవ
ఎంతతళ్ళూగడల్లు
ఇ ఎంతసేపలుదిం
చలు చేల్లుకి
ఇ లూంపేపలు
దింపడల్లు- దడ్డ
ఇ ల్లుకి
ఇ ఎంతడ్డ చేపడ్డరు
ఇ నే అరిచేల్లుచు
తడవల్లుచేల్లు-
ఇ ఆ తిమిపేపడ్డ
మేలుమనడ ఎంతల్లు
చేల్లు
ఇ నేసంతాంతో-చేసు
డ్డిపేపడ్డలూ లుల్లుచేలు
స్వేరిచేపడ్డ
ఇ ఆ-చేసు తడ్డపే
కి లూ ఆ పడ్డ
ఇ ల్లుకి
ఇ ఎంతసేపేపడ్డరు
ఇ ఎంతసేపేపడ్డరు
చేలు లూ ఆ పడ్డ
ఇ ల్లుకి

Col 9 contd.

ಮುಂದೆಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅ
ಕ್ಕರೆಯಿಂದ —
ಗಡಿಯಿಂದ ಪೂರ್ವ
ದಾಗಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ —
ಗಚಿ ಸಾರಸದವಿ
ಮೇಲಿನಕಡೆ ಪೂರ್ವ
ದಲ್ಲಿ —
ಗ ಚ್ಚ-ವತೆದನವಿ
ಮೇಲಿನಕಡೆ ಪೂರ್ವ
ದಲ್ಲಿ ಚೀಣಾರ
ಪಾಳ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ —
ಗಾಂಳ್ಳಪೂರ್ವ
ಪಶ್ಚಿಮಲಾಗಿ —
ಗಡಿಚುಂಬಿಸಿ
ಪಾಳೆಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ಉಳಿದಿರುವಂಥ —

'Copy'

(Sd) D. K. Daraskat

Superintendent of Inam

Settlements in Mysore

Inam Supdt's Office
Bangalore 19-12-1915.

Compared & Sent MBR

words 514

Copying fee 1-2-0

Comparing fee 0-2-0

Paper Cost 0-0-3

Total Rs 1-4-3

Village - Mumiyur
Taluk - Kowledurga -
District - Shimoga.

Copy of the Inam Register in the Village of of Kowledurga in the District of Shimoga

Number of Case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General Class to which the Inam belongs.	Survey No and name of field or fields Comprised in the grant.	Extent		Remarks
			Local Measure Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas and annas it is to be entered in the Column.	Measure in acres and Klein fractions in decimals.	
1	2	3	4	5	
	Devadaya	Wargadars Venkatara-mana Jois and Nara in Jois Warg No 129 Wet Muniyur Kovu No 1		51-4-0	As per acco

Monniyur in the Hobli of Moolturu in the Taluk in the Division of Nagar.

Old assessment Where no assessment has been made and fixed by Government and should be entered in Column 7.	Survey assessment has been ent the raiyats to the aver— of Similar.	Description of Inam If for service it is to be stated whether the service is continued. If for Tasks hiledinspecte whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax etc; or liable to quit rent i e jodi etc amount of latter to be entered
6	7	8	9
unt of 1832 as per 1805. A. P 32-5-4 Rs 123-0-0 Deduct Nasta P 9-1-1 Remdr 23-4-3 or Rs 88-9-0		For the of Somagodu R Chendra devaru of Theerthahalli The Pagoda is said to be in good order and worship performed-	As per acct of 1832 Jodi P 21-6-12 now Collected as per a/c of 1805 P 19-5-3 Rs 73-13-1

Document In Support of The Inam

By whom granted and in what year.	Written instruments in support of the claim original Sanad, all miscellaneous documents and other proofs of long possession. In this Columnn it Should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant whether the grant is produced or lost etc and the general Specification of terms of all documents produced Should be given.
10	11
	1 Copper plate Sasanam (or deed of gift produced) dated in A. D. 1740 executed by Somagodu Ramappa who had obtained the Inam from the Nagar Chief on payment of P 32-5-4 as kanike to Venkatesbhat making a gift of this Inam for the Support of the pagoda in Col 8.

Name And Relationship of original Grantee And

12 Name of original grantee	13 Name entered in the register prepared by Poornaiah upto 1810.	14. Name entered in the accounts Subsequent to 1810.
u	1805 Ramachendra devaru Beriz P 32-5-4 of which Nasta 9-1-1 Remr 23-4-3 Aravasi 19-5-3 Should be P 2-1-9 21-6-12 Remr Jari 3-9-0 ⊗ Rs 96-11-6 of which Sirkar Aravasi wet 43-8-0 Rs 81-15-6 Particulars Rs as P Aciyen aravasi 73-13-0 Increase the Year a 8-2-6 Rs 81-15-6 Remdr ari wet 7-16-0 Rs 14-12-0.	1832. Ramachendra devaru wet 51-4-0 Sistu P 32-5-4 of which obakrutu Nasta 6-9-8 remr P 25-5-12 of which aravasi 19-4-12. Increase in the Year Akshya P 2-1-13 Total 21-6-12X Remdr Jari P 3-9-0 1846 Palani Somagodu Ramachendra devaru wet 51-4-0 no 4 particulars are not mentioned 1867/1868. Ramachendra devaru wet 51-4-0 Sistu Rs 96-11-6 Nasta Chellare Sistu 26-4-6 Total 123-0-0 of which Sobakrutu Nasta Rs 34-7- of which deduct Rs 8-2-6 Remdr Rs 26-4-6 Remdr after deducting Nasta wet 51-4-0 ⊗

Of Subsequent And Present Holders

Particulars regarding present owner. If the Inam has been Sub-divided the name etc of each Sharer Should be entered in the Columns.

By whom granted and in	Residence name and age etc.	Relation to original grantee or Subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders Possession how obtained and in what Year.
15		16	17	18.
	Teerthally. Somagodu Ramachendra Devaru. Worshippers and enjoyers 1. Venkataramana Jois 2. Narayana Jois.			

Length of Possn.....

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding Validity or excess of the Inam Assistants' opinion and recommendation.

19

Whole grant

Pagodas 9-1-1 or land assessed at that sum is called amount Nashta which means in this part of the Country that no land for that amount is in existence It would be ungenerous to think that the former rulers have had augmented the value of the Inam without actually allotting the land so as to cover the assessment. In the present instance Phani and Chetta accounts credit the above mentioned value under the head of amount nashta and declare that no land is available for it owing to the imperfect fiscal admeration of the Country which is obvious from the manner in which the accounts were and are being prepared I think the dictum of amount nashta was founded upon mere imagination -

The amount nashta land must either have

been merged in other land or has been lost sight of. It can never be imagined that the former Government had imposed its demand upon nashta land without actually assigning or making it over to the Inam-dar; nor can it be admitted that either the Inamdar or the founder of the Pagoda would have coolly consented to pay heavy demands which as it is now shown by the recent accounts, were mere ~~ob~~ orbitrary and founded upon wrong principles.

If we can give credence to the Phasi accounts Chitta accounts which as far as I can ascertain were founded by the Village authorities at the first start upon the representation of the illiterate Class of Cultivators, and since no improvements appears to have been made in the System we will have to deduct the Beriz for which no land is said to exist. But the manager of the Pagoda very wisely states that the extent mentioned in the accounts and now enjoyed by the Pagoda (with the exception of a piece of 1-10-0 Kondies of land which was originally deducted from the ayakat of the

Inam

Inam and declared Cirkari for Certain reasons)- with its Hunkal and Haddiya within the recognized boundary of the Inam which the latters have pre-scriptively been enjoyed upto date is all that was granted for the assessment mentioned in the Sanad viz P32-5-4 as well as the Jari accounts This Statement Coincisides with my view of the Case I therefore recommend that the Cultivated land as per accounts with its Hankaloo and Haddiya (which are within their recognized boundries) to be enjoyed as usual. may be Confirmed and continued on the existing Jodi of Rs 73-13-1 Subject of Course to the Conditions of the grant

The increase of P2-1-13 alluded to in the accounts of 1832 is unaccountable and never seems to have been Collected Some of the boundary marks of this Inam were inspected by me they appear to be genuine ones and are undisputed The total extent of land within the boundary Stones as per Inamdars measurement is 221-3-4 1/8 Sayer and abkary is reserved to the State and the Inamdar's right to jungle will be governed by the

the C. Comrs notification on that Subject. The managers are the wargdars and the Whole warg is Inam.

D/21st January 72. (Signed) Syed Nasurodeen
Offg. Assistant.

This Inam is confirmed on the Jodi of Rs 73-13-1 but the boundaries of it will be decided by the Survey Dpt which will also allot all the ~~for~~ forest lands Nadya and Hankale the Pagoda may be entitled to.

2. Is there any Government land within the boundaries? Whether this Inam is to be treated as a Whole village will be decided after its Survey and Settlements If so treated, the Sayer and Abkari will be reserved as also the right to Sandal and Teak-

D/17.12.72. (Signed) R A Cole
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

To be confirmed on Jodi Rs 73.13.0

(Intd) Syed Nasurodeen
Assistant.

Col 19 Contd

Whole grant-

D/25.9.76. (Intd) Syed Nasuroddeen

Assistant.

Review by the Special Assistant and Con—
firmation by the Inam Commissioner

20.

---

1. L.D. J Cultivated Form 2.

2. Nasta was already deducted.

3. The land at ☐ as per original confirmation is confirmed on existing jode Rs 73-13-0.

D/ 18. 1 75

(Signed) J Puckle
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

'Copy'
(Sd) D. K. Daraskar
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Inam Supt's office.
Bangalore D/19/1/1915.
Compared (Init) BS.
words 1294
Copying fee 3-4-0
Comparing fee 0-4-4
Paper Cost 0-0-11
Total Rs 3-9-3

Village Megaravally

Hobli Megaravally

Taluk Sorabadoor

District Shimoga.

Copy of the Inam Register in the Village of Hegana-Kowledoorg in the District of Shimoga in the

Class Extent and Value of Inam

Number of case or entire holding under one Grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey and Name of field or fields comprised in the Grant-Dry, wet or Garden Local measure Given where the local measure in acres, guntas, and annas is to be entered in this Column	Extent. Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals.
1	2	3	4
2	Devadaya	Warg No 45 Wargadar Samagodu Venkataramana Joisa Karabylu Koon Wet No 180 32 15 0 181 9 15 0 Bagayat- 42 10 0 Trees No 17=2000 10 0 0 52.10.0	As per P.

Total

Rs

vally in the Hobli of Meganavally in the Taluk of Devirtion of Nagar.

Old assessment	Survey assessment.	Description of Grain. If for Service, it is to be Stated whether the Service is Continued of for Lands, buildings etc whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life.	Description Name and. whether free of Tax etc or Taks is Quitrent- i.e Jodi etc. Amount of latter to be entered.
Where no Survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Govt, the Cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar or the average assessment of Similar Government land, Should be entered in Column 7.			
6	7	8	9.
Abani account of 1850 H. P. 19-8-4		For the worship of Samagodu Rama Chandra Devaru at Sheerasajapura The pagoda is in good order and Service rendered.	Jodi H. P. 24-0-5 or 8890.14 2
20-2-7 / 40-0-11 add Vartane P 1-7-8 41. 8. 3 or 158 2. 5.			

Document in Support of the Inam

Written instruments in support of the Claim, original Sanad, all miscellaneous documents and other proofs of long possessing

In this column it should be stated whether the inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost etc, and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given.

By whom granted? In what year?

10

1 Peroop produced of in 1814 from H H the Maharaj of Mysore directing the Amildar of Kowledoorg to continue this inam in the pagoda as heretofore without any molestation

1 Sryasanam (Copper plate) or (Deed of Gift) dated S.S 1662 or A D 1740 from one Lamagodu Ramappaiah, stating that he has made a gift of this to Venkatesha Bhat for continuing the Service and enjoying the inam hereditarily

Name and Relationship of original grantee and

Name of original Grantee	Name entered in the Register prepared by Poorniah upto 1810	Name entered in the accounts Subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
	In Jaffry account of 1802 Ramachandra Deva of Uheeta Rajapur Worshipper Subba Bhat Wet 42.10.0 P 19.8.4 Rajayat Vees 2000 = P 2 2 7. add Varlane 1 7.8 Total P. 41 8 3 Deduct Sobakantu nasbta P 11 2 1 Balance (Jain out) of which Pagod 4 0 5 Jaffry 6 5 13	In Jaffry of account of 1831 Mallikarjuna Deva of Uheeta Rajapur Wet Rand 45.10.0 P 21.3 4. Rajayat Daya 2000 P 21 2.7. add Varlane P 1.7.8 Total P 43 3 3. of which in Balahonane Village P 1.5.0 In Nagaravalli P 41.8.3. Total P 43 3 3 deduct Sobhakantu nastha P 11 2.4 P 31 6 15 of which P 24 9 5 pagady Jaffry P 6 7 10

of subsequent and present holders.

particulars regarding present owner If the inam has been sub-divided, the name of the each sharer should be entered in these columns

Residence Name and age	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If in connected with the original holders possession, how obtained and in what year.
15	16	17	18.
Sreenthally Samagodu Ramachandra Divan. Manager and Worshipper. Venkataramana Jois.			

Length of possession.

Abstract of the order already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding Validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation.

19.

Asali:—

The whole wang is inam and the Wargadar is the manager of the pagoda. The inam is identified and the rashta pays its quota of jodi.

It appears that this inam has got its Hakkabo and Haddya within recognized boundaries of the inam, but their extent is not forth coming in any Govt records. The Survey departments may be requested to define their extent during its operations

Column 19 Continued

The forest grown on the inam lands is subject to the reservation of contained in the Commissioner's notification of 26th June 169.

To be Confirmed on the existing jodi of Rupees 90 14.0 Subject to the conditions of the grant.

Date 22nd Dec 71.

Signed Syed Nasrudeen
Offg Asst.

To be Confirmed on jodi of Rupees 90 14 0

Sd/s Syed Nasrudeen
offg Asst.

1 Asali and 1 dalhalli D j cultivated nasbha deducted form 2

2 The remaining land is Confirmed in on a existing jodi of Rupees 90 14 0

3 Ba os reserved under proces 30 jodi not Collected

Dt 12 75

Signed J pickle
Superintendent

Rama Chandaderam
Total Pooffar K e P 45.100

As per jama account of 1881

Jamas	P. F. V	As at as per
2000	433.3	163.13.2

Total Jamam

V. Number	Name of Pattadar	Share in the Jamam	Present Pattadar	Remarks
A Nagara-valli	200	wet 42.10.0 81.9.6 wet 0.17.0 1.9.4 wet 41.13.0 80.0.2 Bag 2000 76.8.11 Bag 1.11.0 42.6.1 Bag 850 342.10 158.2.5	Ramaiah Chetty	Ramaiah Chetty died and his son Ramaiah Chetty succeeded
B Bilamani	199	wet- 3.0.0 5.10.9 3 6 5.2.4.4. 43.15.5	Ramaiah Chetty	Ramaiah Chetty died and his son Ramaiah Chetty succeeded
Total		wet 45.10.0 87.4.3 wet 0.17.0 1.9.4 wet-43.13.0 89.5.7 Bag 2000 76.8.11 Bag 1.11.0 42.6.1 Bag 850 342.10 163.13.2 43.15.5		

Name of Village

Name of Pattadar

Devadayam Grant- Valid under rule 1

1A

Continuation of Column No 19.

Description	According to Survey accounts			According to the present main Settlements			Increase			Decrease			Remarks
	Extent	assk.	jads	Extent	assk	jads	Extent	assk	jads	Extent	assk	jads	
Devadayem	1	-	-	15.50	190.4.9	109.0.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Column No 19 Continued.

2. A is to be Confirmed on land on Jodi

Rs 90.14.0. Y.D. Rule V

3 B is to be Resumed as the Jodi not paid

on 17.9.74.

Signed Syed Nooruddeen

Assistant

Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner.

20.

Asali Case.
See Schedule.

Inted. J. Pantle.
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Confirmed

Dt 10.1.73. Signed R. A. Cole
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

"Copy"

Signed. D. K. Dhana Sab.
Superintendent of Inam
Settlements in Mysore

Words 996
Copying fee 2.8.0.
Comp fee 0.3.4
paper 0-0-9.
Rs 2.12.1

Proceedings of the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore

24 (5

Read:—

Letter No 724 dated 12th October 1914 from this office to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District registering him to take proceedings to cancel the unauthorised alienations of certain inam lands endowed to Sri Ramachandra Devaru temple at Samagodu in the Sirthahalli Taluk and to restore the same to the Vahivatdars under certain Conditions.

Petition Registered No 1157 dated 25th January 1915 from the Vahivatdar Vedavyasa Joisa praying for a reconsideration of the above order

Letter No 49/R26 dated 22nd July 1915 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District submitting the connected records

Order thereon No 718

Fasly 1324 - 14 - 5

Dated Bangalore 8th September 1915

The case was heard before Government on 21st ~~August~~ August 1915 the petitioner being represented by his Advocate Mr C B Seshagiri Rao

The documents read before Government by the petitioner's advocate were all considered at the time of inam Settlement in 1782 and the inam was registered as Devadaya The petitioner cannot now go behind it and in fact does not intend to do so His objection is that the Deputy Commissioner should not be authorised to fix the scale and that it should be recognised that the temple is a private one

The Deputy Commissioner is ~~registered~~ requested to frame after such enquiry as may be registered, a scheme of management in accordance with the orders conveyed in the letter read above and send it up to Government for Consideration

Sd C Aravamuda Iyengar
for Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

No.

The Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga District Returning Records.

"Copy".-

(Sd) B. Subba Rao.

for Secretary to Govt

Revenue Department.

Copy applied by Mr. C. B. Lakshmagiri Rao

on 25. 8. 1915—

Ready 15. 10. 15—

Deld. 26. 10. 15—

Coping and Comparing Charges 0. 10 0

16

[Calls for a report on the review petition from one Vedavyasa Jois re Sri Ramachandra Devaru Temple]

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 521 1916

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to 1054

No. 691 Enc 7.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Dy Commr,
Shimoga Dist

Date of issue 9-2-1916

Signed by Narasimmudayar

Sir,

Adverting to the marginally noted communications from this office calling for a report of management of Sri Ramachandra Devaru at Samagodu in the Tirthahalli Taluk, I am directed to forward herewith review petition registered no. 1054 dy 16th December 1915 (with

over.

× Letter no. 724 dy 12-10-14
Govt order no. 718 dy 8-9-15.

SUBJECT.

its 6 enclosures) from the
Vakkeelatolar Vedanyasa Iyer
of Tirthahalli praying for
permission to submit a scale
of expenditure not exceeding
Rs 270/, and to request that
you will be so good as to take
this petition also into consideration
while framing the above scheme,
and to submit for orders
of Govt, a report on the
petition along with the scheme
framed by you. I am to add
that the petitioner has been
asked to appear before you
and make his representations.
in the matter. I have etc.

List of enclosures.

Ld 15/2

amm 13/7/16

For Rev. Secretary.

Mr Jacomb's order

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 8 11

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

No. 992 dt. 9.2.916.
Nos. 521-74-7

REV.SE
VII
MUZRAI

29

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

The Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 27/28th August 1919.

Despatched

Received.

General No. H.C.177(Rev)1916-17.
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file, Memo
Petition with Enquest a scale etc.

Submits a scale of income and expenditure for the temple of Sri Ramachandra Devaru temple at Somarodu, Tirthahalli Talu..

No.H.C.177(Rev)1918-17. Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated, Shimoga, the 27/28th August 1919.

From

T.E. Jayarama Iver, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

B. Ramaswamiah, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department, B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.1991/Muz.521-14-6 dated the 19th February 1918, calling for a scheme of management ~~of~~ in respec of Sri Ramachandra Devaru temple at Somavodu in the Tirthahalli Taluk, after taking into consideration the review petition registered No. 1054 dated the 16th December 1915 received from the Vahivatadar Vedvyasa Jois, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. As regards the cancellation of the unauthorized alienation on long or perpetual leases made by the Vahivatadar, (Vide your letter No.724/Muz.36-06 dated the 12th October 1914) the Amildar of Tirthallà Taluk reports in his ittilla No.491 dated the 5th November 1917 that the Vahivatadar has stated before him that as the locality is in the heart of the malnad, it is very difficult to get ryots to cultivate the lands, that it will not be possible to find ryots every year to cultivate the lands if the present lease holders are disturbed and that if they are disturbed he will be put to heavy loss. The Amildar xxxx says that this representati appears reasonable. In view of the fact that in this locality the difficulty in finding labour is very great, the present case may be treated as an exxx exception to th general rule that as a rule, inam lands should not be leased out for more than 5 years at a time, and the lands permitted to be leased out for a

period of 30 years on the understanding that if the lands are kept in good condition, the lease will be renewed for thirty years and that Government reserve to themselves the right of enhancing the rent at the time of the renewal.

3. With regard to the scale for the management of this temple, a copy of the scale drawn up by the Special Muzrai Sheristedar is enclosed for perusal. D

4. On the 31st July 1917, the Vahivatadar produced before the Amildar of Tirthahalli a scale list in which he has shown the income as Rs. 750/- and the expenditure as follows:- E

(1) Kandavam on temple lands.	Rs. 286-0-0.
(2) Interest on Rs. 3850/- borrowed by the Vahivatadar for the improvement of these lands.	328-0-0.
(3) Expenditure to be incurred every year to rectify the damage caused by rain to the gardens.	150-0-0.
(4) Balanace.	36-0-0.
Total.	750-0-0.

He adds that in the remarks column that the small balance of Rs.36/- is being utilized for the establishment, Nityabattale, Hechukattale and temple repairs etc. and as this amount is not enough for these purposes, he supplements it from his private funds as the temple belongs to his family.

5. When questioned by the Amildar as to the suitability or otherwise of the scale drawn up by the Muzrai Sheristedar, the Vahivatadar has stated that the income from wet lands of the temple is Rs. 650/- as shown bythe Muzrai Sheristedar, that the cash receipts amount to Rs. 64-8-0 and not Rs. 64-8-0 as shown in the scale, and that Rs. 400/- being the cost of 80 maunds of areca should not be included in the scale list as this is the income derived from 6 acres 37 guntas of garden in the Hunasavalli village on the cultivation of which he has spent considerable sums of money. Since he has spent large sums, he contends that the income derived from this garden should not be treated as temple income. He concedes that if the garden is leased out it may fetch a gurta of 70 maunds of areca.

6. The Amildar reports that this contention is untenable as he has no right to claim the temple lands as his own, that the cash receipt is only Rs. 64-8-0 and that the scale income drawn up

by the Muzrai Sheristedar requires no modification. I agree with him subject to the observations made by me in para 10 supra.

7. The scale of expenditure drawn up by the Amildar is also herein enclosed and in this (a) a sum of Rs. 30/- has been provided for the payment of interest on a sum of Rs. 3850/- said to have been borrowed by the Vahivatadar for the improvement of the temple lands and (b) a sum of Rs. 150/- has been provided in lieu of Rs. 155/-11-0 set forth inthe Muzrai Sheristedar's scale on account of the charges to be incurred for the garden lands during the rainy season. The Amildar reports that it has been ascertained that a sum of Rs. 3850/- was borrowed by the Vahivatadar for the improvement of his lands and that the interest on this amount should be provided for in the scale. This is a matter which requires further investigation. The Amildar has been asked to send a copy of the deed and to submit a further report.

8. Both the Amildar and the Muzrai Sheristedar agree in thinking that a sum of (about Rs. 150/-) is necessary for making good the damages caused to the gardens by rain.

9. The other items provided for in the scale drawn up by the Amildar are less than those contained in the Muzrai Sheristedar list.

10. As the Vahivatadar himself has admitted that the garden lands of Hunsavalli will, if leased out, fetch a "Gutta" of 72 maunds of areca and as the value of only sixty maunds has been taken into account, the difference of 12 maunds also or value Rs. 96/- may also be treated as the income of the temple.

11. A scale of income and expenditure drawn on the above lines is enclosed for perusal.

12. The review petition registered no. 1954 dated the 18th December 1915 with its enclosures received with your letter under reply is herein enclosed.

13. The entire file ending with Tirthahalli Taluk Amildar's ittilla No. 195 dated the 14th August 1919 is

also

32

also enclosed:

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

Hs.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Accts for furniture in respect of Samagodu Ramachandra Devaru temple in the Nelamangala Taluk

Diary No. of letter replied to 451

Section Inam
File No. 521
Serial No. 4th 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
the 191 .

No. 1796. Encl one statement with leaves one file of papers

Date of issue 12.12.09

Signed by J. E. Jayarama Ayyar Esqr.

To

The Dy. Commr.,
Shimoga Dist.,

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 147 of 28th August 09 submitting a scale of income and expenditure for the Temple of Sri Ramachandra Devaru at Samagodu in the Nelamangala Taluk, I am directed to request that you

over.

2/4

will be so good as
to communicate to the undersigned
Secretary of State, the result of the
enquiry regd. to
deposit proceeded in
para 4 of the letter
under reference, about
the alleged debt of
Rs 3850/ said to have
been borrowed by the
Valivadan for the
improvement of the
temple lands.

(2) The records are
herewith returned for
ref. & re-selection.

I have etc.

Secretary
Rev. Dept.
2/12/19

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

W D 1744—GPB—8 ,600—19-3-19

35

H.C.177(rev) 16-17.

Encs. 2 files of Records.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated 20/23rd April 1920.

DIARY No. 2173.



From

S. Hiriannaiya, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretay to Government,
Muzrai Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: 1706-Muz.521-14-0 dated the 12th December 1919 calling for a report on the result of the further enquiry referred to in paragraph 7 of my letter No: H.C.177(Rev)16-1 dated the 28th August 1919, regarding the loan of Rs. 3850/- said to have been borrowed by the Vahivatdar of Sri Samagodu Ramachendra Devaru for the improvement of the Temple lands, I have the honour to state as follows:-

The Amildar of Thirthahalli Taluk was directed on the 27th August 1919 to forward a copy of the document evidencing the loan of Rs. 3850/- and to enquire and submit a report on the condition of the lands before the loan was obtained. From the records submitted by the Amildar in persuance of the above order, it is seen that the Vahivatdar Vedavyasa Rao has deposed that it was not he but his forefathers that borrowed the several loans as occasion arose aggregating to a sum of Rs. 3850/-. He states that the fact of indebtedness could be deduced from the perusal of the accounts of some of the cloth merchants and of one Udupi Sundaraya. I am not disposed to place reliance on the claim of the Vahivatdar about having contracted loans for the improvement of the garden. It is possible to believe that the Vahivatdar could have incurred such heavy obligations for improving a land belonging to the

the Temple. He admits his inability to produce independent satisfactor evidence of the debts and cleverly tries to explain away the failure by saying that it was his forefathers that incurred the debts. Even if the incurring of the debts was a fact it will not help the Vahivatdars and creates no obligation against the Temple unless it is also proved that every pie of the amount borrowed was appropriated for the cultivation of the garden. It is a notorious practise with these Vahivatdars in the Malnad first to advance a claim to the land in their own right, and adversely to the Temple itself and failing that, to put forward all manner of other claims when they feel that their possession and enjoyment of the Inam Lands is likely to be disturbed. It seems to me that this is exactly what has happened in this case. In any event, it would, I submit, be unfair to the interests of the Temple to admit directly or indirectly the right of the Vahivatdar to run into that debt for the sake of the lands without the express sanction of atleast the local Muzrai Officers.

2. Another piece of evidence put forward by the Vahivatdar as a proof of the debt deserves a reference. He contends that the inc of the Temple lands which was only Rs. 271/- according to Inam ------ Settlement Accounts has since risen to Rs. 750/- showing that the borrowed amount was used to improve the lands of the Temple. This improvemnt in the income is undoubtedly due, partly atleast, to the rise in the prices of arecanut and it is not by any means improbable that the land might have yielded a better income as a result of the application of the savings effected out of the income of the Temple lands themselves from year to year. The Vahivatdar and his ancestors have had uninterrupted enjoyment of the Temple inam land for a very long period without control or suprevision and this fact in itself raises a strong presumption that the family have materially benefitted by the enjoyment.

3. In these circumstances I am of opinion that the claim of the Vahibatdars to the sum of Rs. 385?/- or for interest thereon is inadmissible and does not deserve consideration.

4. The enclosures of the letter under reply along with the File ending with Thirthahalli Amildar's Letter No: 611 dated the 17th December 1919 accompany.

accompany.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S Narayana Rao.

for Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

KSR

Proceedings of the Government of His H.
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Government Proceedings No.718/Muz.
521-14-5 dated 4th September 1915, calling for a scheme of management in respect of the affairs of the Sri Ramachandra Devaru temple at Sankodu, Thirthahalli Taluk.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No.177 dated 21st April 1920, from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, re the affairs of the ~~Sri Ramachandra Devaru temple at Sankodu, Thirthahalli Taluk, Shimoga District.~~ Temple referred to above.

4543-S See two files

Order No. Muzrai 521-14-11

Dated Bangalore, the 30th June 1920.

The temple of Sri Ramachandra Devaru at Sankodu in the Tirthahalli Taluk is a Muzrai Institution endowed with Inam lands.

2. During the inspection of the temple by the Muzrai Superintendent in January 1906, it was found that the extensive inam lands endowed to the temple had passed into the possession of some private persons and an enquiry was thereupon ordered. It was then discovered that some of the temple lands had been leased for terms of 50 and 500 years; that the temple buildings had been neglected and that no proper accounts of income and expenditure of the temple funds had been maintained by the Vahivatdar.

39

3. The Deputy Commissioner was thereupon requested to take steps to cancel the unauthorized alienations and to call upon the Vahivatdar to execute a Muchalika binding himself to keep the temple building in proper repair and to conduct the services in the temple according to the scale fixed by the Deputy Commissioner, and in default ~~to~~ to take over the temple property under the management of the Government.

4. The Vahivatdar then petitioned Government praying for a reconsideration of the above order, but the petition was rejected and the Deputy Commissioner was asked to frame and submit for the orders of Government a scheme of management in regard to the affairs of the temple.

5. The Deputy Commissioner has accordingly submitted a scale of income and expenditure, and in regard to the lease of the temple lands, he proposes that in view of the special difficulties attending the cultivation of lands in Malnad tracts the lands in question may be leased out for a period of 30 years.

6. The Vahivatdar urges that his ancestors have given loans to the temple to the extent of Rs.3,850/- and prays that provision may be made in the scale of expenditure for the payment to him annually of Rs.328/-, being the interest thereon, but the Deputy Commissioner reports that this claim is inadmissible and does not deserve consideration. ~~No documents in proof of the loans have been produced; no receipts~~ out

After a consideration of all the circumstances ~~permission of the Local Mussal Officers to be a party to the Inquiry, such terms, and it is highly unlikely that the Valvatdar would have objected to this, a future for the temple. The~~ Government ~~have~~ agree with ~~the Deputy Commissioner~~ him in thinking that the ~~present~~ contention of the Valvatdar is unsound. The temple is therefore under no obligation to repay this sum to the Valvatdar and no provision for the payment of the principal or interest on the sum to the Valvatdar need be made in the scale of temple expenditure.

7. Subject to this modification, the scale of income and expenditure proposed by the Deputy Commissioner is sanctioned.

8. The proposal to lease the temple lands for 30 years is also sanctioned in the special circumstances of the case.

Secretary to Government,
Mussal Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, with the ~~sanctioned scale and other enclosures~~. Recd.
The Controller to Government.
The Mussal Superintendent in Mysore.

HRR.

1. Scale of income & expenditure of Sri Vittal Alle Tulur - Shimoga

Serial No	Income	Amount
1	Income from wet lands 325 Khandiges at Rs 2-0-0 / in Mandy -	050 -
2	Income from Garden lands 72 Maunds of areca at Rs 8-0-0 per maund	576 -
3	Cash Receipts from other Garden lands	64

andra Devara temple at Sagaragodu in the west

Serial No	Expenditure	Amount		
	Kandayam on temple lands.	239	13	-
21	~~Interest~~ on Rs 3850/- borrowed for the improvement of the temple lands	X. 328		
	Expenditure on rectifying the damages caused to the garden lands during the rainy season.	150		
	Pay of the Archak per year.	96	-	-
	Nityakatle including Amritapadi, Kandadeepa, fruits etc. (per year)	120	-	-
	Hetchukatle (Sri Ramanavami, Vasantha Birth day of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Karthika Deepotsava etc)	150	-	-
	Reserve for repairs (~~Shedding tiles etc every year etc~~) And unforeseen Expenditure	1962 + 328	-	-
	Other items	10	11	-
	Total	1290	8	

Set forth here only tentatively. The permanent measure will depend on the lines now laid down.

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga Dist.